

SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION: WHAT IT MEANS TO YOU



A PRACTICAL PERSPECTIVE

By RGI, Inc. and SWRInstitute

"Sustainable design seeks to reduce negative impacts on the environment and the health and comfort of building occupants, thereby improving building performance. The basic objectives of sustainability are to reduce consumption of nonrenewable resources; minimize waste; and create healthy, productive, environments."

*U.S. Department of Energy

INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade, anyone involved in construction has had reason to question how his or her work product impacts the environment and the resources future generations will rely upon. Whether compelled to do so by regulators enforcing environmental responsibility, design professionals insisting on "sustainable" construction products, or owners mandating more energy-efficient buildings, demand for sustainable construction has increased in an economy that has seen a sharp decline in more traditional building practices.

Today, that demand has become a market force in its own right. A rapidly growing number of electronic and print publications now promote differing ways to achieve "sustainable design," advertise increasingly efficient building systems, and promote a wide range of building materials derived from recycled or renewable resources. Additionally, many building owners have placed a disproportionate emphasis on obtaining accreditation status for their buildings versus emphasizing long-term performance. Too little emphasis, however, has been placed on durability of building systems, the need for proactive maintenance, and the benefits of restoring existing buildings as means to achieve sustainability.

RCI. INC. AND SWR INSTITUTE PERSPECTIVE

To achieve sustainable construction, emphasis must be placed on the design and construction of building envelope systems.

In addition to new construction, mem-

bers of RCI, Inc. and SWRInstitute devote much of our expertise to the design, repair, maintenance, and restoration of building envelopes. Buildings that we work on vary from those in design phase to ones that have survived generations. From experience with older buildings constructed of traditional materials, and to newer buildings that incorporate sustainable building materials and energy-efficient components, ours is a unique perspective on the truly sustainable nature of modern building envelopes.

Over the last two decades, RCI and SWRInstitute members have been witness to a flurry of premature building system failures that have required us to undertake significant demolition, investigation, redesign, and repair of modern structures. When such extreme measures are required on recently constructed buildings, the benefits promoted as part of those buildings' original "sustainable" design are negated. Our clients—the building owners—are justifiably frustrated. They should not have to endure considerable inconvenience and cost to bring their "new" buildings back into serviceable condition.

These costly failures and performance shortcomings have led RCI, Inc. and SWRInstitute to conclude that the most important consideration in achieving beneficial sustainability is durability. A durable building (or building system) is one that can achieve its intended service life with only routine maintenance. Without durability, the benefits of sustainable construction quickly vanish. Building systems that are

not durable require costly repairs. They will also require new materials and energy for their repairs, adversely impacting our environment.

Numerous RCI and SWRInstitute case studies make clear that the durability of complex building envelopes is often compromised by premature failure of a single, integral component. Many such "weak links" were incorporated by well-meaning design professionals as sustainable alternatives to more proven technology. Their failure starts a chain reaction that fuels progressive decay of entire assemblies.

Durability shortcomings stem from several factors, including the following:

- 1. Inadequate Design. Unless a building system is designed properly, it will not achieve its anticipated service life. Proper design requires a thorough understanding of how that building system will function, how it will integrate with other building systems, and which component may be the weakest link. To avoid design failures, experience with traditional systems and with the in-service strengths and weaknesses of sustainable alternatives are essential.
- Inadequate Workmanship/Construction. Without skilled workmanship and proper execution, a well-designed building system will not achieve its intended service life. RCI, Inc. and SWRInstitute's collective experience indicates that use of specialized contractors and proper

quality control/quality assurance will increase the likelihood of achieving more durable construction.

- 3. Material/System Shortcomings.
 Sustainable construction has created a demand for numerous sustainable materials and systems.
 However, short track records and/or inadequate product development of such new systems can lead to disappointing performance in the real world. Another frequent contributor to premature failure is use of sustainable materials in ways the manufacturer never intended.
- 4. Inadequate Maintenance. While a durable building system should be able to reach its expected service life without unanticipated repairs, no construction material or system can function indefinitely without periodic inspections and/or routine maintenance. Some performance and service life shortcomings stem from improper maintenance or lack of maintenance altogether.

WHAT SUSTAINABILITY SHOULD MEAN TO BUILDING OWNERS

With the above in mind, it is RCI, Inc. and SWRInstitute's collective position that building owners should exercise caution when embracing the sustainable construction movement. Although we acknowledge the need to protect our environment and agree that sustainable construction should be integrated into our industry, no building owner can truly benefit from a sustainable building if the building cannot reach its expected service life without the need for unanticipated repairs. To that end, adopting policies that encourage durability is a necessary step towards achieving sustainability.

One final factor that must not be overlooked is the inherent sustainability of preserving and restoring existing buildings. Too often, structurally sound older buildings—viable structures that could be restored and retrofitted for future use—are demolished and replaced with new energy-efficient structures. Before such extreme measures are taken in the name of sustainability, consideration needs to be given to the embodied energy and environmental impact of the resultant construction debris and the costs and resources consumed by new construction.

FINAL THOUGHTS

While the sustainability movement promises many good things, we encourage building owners to consider how greater emphasis on building durability and restoration of existing buildings impact their financial interests and the environment.

Sustainable buildings must survive to provide a financial justification for their construction and to benefit the environment.

In the opinion of RCI, Inc. and SWRInstitute members, it is often more environmentally responsible (and financially prudent) to restore an existing building than to build a new one.

This position paper has been brought to you by RCI, Inc. and Sealant, Waterproofing and Restoration Institute (SWRInstitute). Through their strategic alliance, these organizations have been able to observe, analyze, and compile opinions of many industry leaders regarding sustainability.

